# STIX and TAXII 2.0

Looking Ahead









- All decisions are made via the OASIS process
  - Either consensus or explicit votes
- DHS or MITRE are not "in charge"
  - Though, still very active and in some leadership positions in the OASIS committee
- Aggressive timelines for next versions
  - Early summer for STIX 2.0, TAXII 2.0, and CybOX 3.0



## We need your help!



- If you can or do belong to OASIS
  - Join the TC and contribute
  - The more comments, the better the specifications
- If you don't and can't join OASIS
  - You can still review the specs and submit comments via <u>cti-comment@lists.oasis-open.org</u>
- Or just come see me after ②



### Where it's headed



### Simplification and intuitiveness

- One way of doing things
- Less complicated approaches and terminology
- Less flexibility, more standardization
- Less abstraction, more top-level objects

#### More expressive analysis

- Better support real analysis use cases
- Explicitly model as a graph



## **Switching to JSON**



#### XML is fine

STIX also used fairly complicated XML

#### JSON is more natural for many developers

- And we'll use simple JSON
- Validate with JSON Schema

#### Better because:

- No element/attribute distinctions
- No namespaces

#### **Note**

JSON is "Mandatory to Implement", but other serializations are still possible



## Things are required



- Almost all fields in 1.x are optional
  - Easier for producers, very difficult for consumers
- Examples
  - id
  - created\_at
  - (more to come)





## **Splitting apart TTP and Exploit Target**

Homeland Security Systems Engineering and Development Institute

- In STIX 1.x, TTP and Exploit Target were containers for types
  - But this wasn't clear in the spec
- In STIX 2.0, these containers are removed and types become top-level objects
- Better because:
  - More intuitive to create and use a Malware object than a TTP with a Behavior, that has a Malware Instance
  - Prevents you from creating ambiguous content





## **Extract Relationship to the top-level**

- In STIX 1.x, relationships were embedded in top-level objects
- 2.0 is explicitly graph-based, with relationships at their own object
- Better because:
  - Easier to parse
  - Can be represented separately and created by many producers
  - Prevents the "embed vs. reference" debate







- In STIX 1.x, CybOX patterns were embedded in all CybOX object fields and had significant duplication of functionality
- In 2.0, patterns are extracted out of objects and consolidated to a single (yet to be defined) approach
- Better because:
  - Less duplication
  - Less pollution of the CybOX object fields model with things used only for patterning



## **TAXII Collections and Channels**



- In TAXII 1.1, everything was a data set
- In 2.0, there are two patterns:
  - Collections, which are data sets for sharing content
  - Channels, which are used for sharing "topic" messages
- Better because:
  - Explicitly supports two design patterns in optimized ways



## **TAXII** is HTTP and JSON



- In TAXII 1.1, HTTP and XML were bindings of an abstract model
- In 2.0, TAXII is explicitly tied to HTTPS and JSON
- Better because:
  - Everyone is using HTTPS, so it's less complicated to have the abstraction layer
  - Allows it to take advantage of native HTTPS features



### **Before & After: Malware**



```
{
  "type": "malware",
  "id": "malware--e610a4f1-9676-eab3-bcc6-b2768d58281a",
  "created_at": "2014-05-08T09:00:00.000000Z",
  "spec_version": "2.0",
  "title": "Poison Ivy",
  "types": ["Remote Access Trojan"]
}
```



## **Before: Relationships**



```
<stix:Threat_Actor id="example:threatactor-9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11f" xsi:type='ta:ThreatActorType' version="1.2">
    <ta:Title>Adversary Bravo</ta:Title>
    <ta:Identity id="example:Identity-1621d4d4-b67d-11e3-9670-f01faf20d111">
        <stixCommon:Name>Adversary Bravo</stixCommon:Name>
    </ta:Identity>
    <ta:0bserved_TTPs>
        <ta:0bserved_TTP>
            <stixCommon:Relationship>Leverages Attack Pattern</stixCommon:Relationship>
            <stixCommon:TTP idref="example:ttp-8ac90ff3-ecf8-4835-95b8-6aea6a623df5"/>
        </ta: Observed_TTP>
        <ta:0bserved_TTP>
            <stixCommon:Relationship>Leverages Malware</stixCommon:Relationship>
            <stixCommon:TTP idref="example:ttp-d1c612bc-146f-4b65-b7b0-9a54a14150a4"/>
        </ta:0bserved_TTP>
    </ta: Observed_TTPs>
</stix:Threat_Actor>
```







```
"type": "threat-actor",
"id": "threat-actor--9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11f",
"spec_version": "2.0",
"created_at": "2016-02-09T01:01:01Z",
"title": "Adversary Bravo"
"type": "relationship",
"id": "relationship--9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11e",
"spec_version": "2.0",
"created_at": "2016-02-09T01:01:01Z",
"source_ref": "threat-actor--9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11f"
"target_ref": "ttp--8ac90ff3-ecf8-4835-95b8-6aea6a623df5",
"value": "observed-using"
"type": "relationship",
"id": "relationship--9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11d",
"spec_version": "2.0",
"created_at": "2016-02-09T01:01:01Z",
"source_ref": "threat-actor--9a8a0d25-7636-429b-a99e-b2a73cd0f11f"
"target_ref": "ttp--d1c612bc-146f-4b65-b7b0-9a54a14150a4",
"value": "observed-using"
```

