





Yet another story on information sharing...

- NATO Malware Information Sharing Platform
- Panel discussion *Threat information sharing:* Strategies and threat Scenario's
- George Mason University Barriers and pathways to improving effectiveness of cybersecurity Information Sharing Among Public and Private Sectors

• , .



Case study on improving shared situational awareness by focusing on community building

- ✓ Situational Awareness as is
- ✓ Why we saw cause for action
- ✓ The NDN initiative
- ✓ Steps in community building





Rijksoverheid



NCSC-NL situational awareness

Tactical	 News analysis Daily weather report 	 Tactical analysis Monthly monitor Guidelines Factsheets White papers Media analysis Policy briefings 	 One conference Trend report End of year
Operational	• Advisories	 Malware analysis End of week Ad hoc Observables and context 	One conference
	Daily		Yearly



2012 Dorifel

August 8th

- We receive first calls
- Requests for advice
- Municipality of Weert
- Malware sample
- Indicators sharing
- Actionability





2012 Dorifel

August 9th

- New reported infections
- Notice and Takedowns
- 'Release me of a botnet'

August 10th

- Total of 30 public and private organizations
- Scaled down
- Clean up still ongoing







https://www.shadowserver.org

2012 Dorifel Evaluation

Shortcomings

- No early warning
- No access to the networks
- No use of standards
- No feedback on indicators
- No community driven approach
- And thus ...no actual shared situational awareness



2015: National Detection Network





NDN offers

- 1. An IDS for government organisations
- 2. Threat intel data not (yet) widely available
- 3. Targeted at NL, high impact, high likelihood
- 4. Platform for private sectors in critical infrastructure
- 5. Use of standards and open source
- 6. Based on voluntary sharing with NCSC-NL
- 7. Available to all of our constituency





http://deerhillexpeditions.com

Topics dealt with



10 Steps in community building

LOOP	10. Evaluate	10. Evaluate and adjust		
Ш	8. Results	9. Information Process		
OBSTACLE	6. Business Case	7. Controls		
	5. Decision	5. Decision making		
START	4. Start the co	4. Start the collaboration		
R	3. Commu	3. Communication		
PRIOR	2. Explore the	2. Explore the territory		
	1. Legal and poli	1. Legal and policy assurances		

li o i mudul i

Steps in community building

ГООР	10. Evaluate and adjust		
	8. Results	9. Information Process	
OBSTACLE	6. Business Case	7. Controls	
OB			
START	5. Decision making		
	4. Start the collaboration		
	2.000		
OR	3. Communication		
PRIOR	2. Explore the territory		
	1. Legal and policy assurances		





Step 2. Exploring the teritory

- Information
- Trust level
- Volume
- Sharing molde
- Benefit
- Authority
- Exchange base
- Size
- Lenght
- Connectednes
- Diversity

Step 2. Exploring the teritory

information	open	closed	
trust level	not present	informal	formal
volume	low	medium	high
sharing model	hub-spoke	peer-peer	hybrid
benefit	low	medium	high
authority	public	private	supervisory
exchange base	voluntary	mandatory	
size	limited	medium	large
lenght	ad hoc	long term	
connectedness	weak	strong	bridging
diversity	low	medium	high



Steps in community building

LOOP	10. Evaluate ar	10. Evaluate and adjust		
E H	8. Results	9. Information Process		
OBSTACLE	6. Business Case	7. Controls		
OBS	· · · · ·			
START	5. Decision m	5. Decision making		
	4. Start the colla	4. Start the collaboration		
	cation			
PRIOR	2. Explore the te	2. Explore the territory		
	1. Legal and policy	1. Legal and policy assurances		



Step 4. Collaboration process



Stages	Period	Activities
1 Invite	May-Nov '13	Representative organizationsDelegates
2 First insight	Dec '13	 Round table sessions Introductions Proposition: benefits, challenges
3 Share and reflect	Feb '14	Interests made explicitImplicit a group was made
4 Negotiate	Mar, Jun '14	AgendaRoles, responsibilities
5 Scoping	Jun-Nov '14	 Process, organization, information, infrastructure, legal, policy, communication
6 Execute	Dec '14	- Start pilot: infrastructure, sharing
7 Evaluate	Jun-Sep '15	 Roadmap, growth scenario, sharing proces Private sectors of private sectors
		Private Sco



Step 5. Decision making

 Stakeholders 150 corporate organizations 20 public organizations 3 founding partners ? Managed service providers 	Structure 1 steering group, 2-monthly Senior management Sounding board Round table
Topics Project and pilots Policy, legal and politics Finance and resources Communication 	 Results Consensus Political support Stakeholder collaboration Autonomy untouched Missing: MSSP, researchers, interest groups,



Steps in community building





Step 6. Costs and benefits Benefits - Reputation through Corporate Soc Resp - Higher security level - Compliancy - Efficient processes - NL digitally (more) safe - Collaboration Long term Short term Costs

Step 6. Costs and benefits		
	Benefits	
- Reputation through Corporate Soc Resp	- Higher security level	
- Compliancy	- Efficient processes	
- Collaboration	- NL digitally (more) safe	
Short term	Long term	
- Labour (legal, policy, techs,)	- Infrastructure / maturity.	
- Tooling (IDS, MISP, SIEM)	- IDS appliance	
- Service management	- Commercial feeds	
	Costs	

Step 7. Information controls

Privacy controls (public IDS)

- Raw data only locally
- No IoC's on personal identifable information
- IP addresses hashed and salted
- Retention time <30 days
- Hits after 30 minute delay
- White box solution
- No remote management





Step 7. Information controls

Privacy controls in process and organization

- Describe the working process
- Protocols in place that describe how to handle
- Perform a privacy impact assessment
- Processes are externaly audited
- Keep checking on compliancy with legislation and policies
- Only screened personnel handle data



Step 7. Information controls

Sharing controls (private sector)

Public access and access from supervising authorities to government information

- Describe the working process
- Retention times on IoC's, sightings,...
- Policy statement, law amendment *TLP Amber, confidential or secret*
- MSSP's, international branches
- Research e.g. Blooming filter
- Transparency in processing, (NDA's)



Step 8. Results



- Span, location, availability
- Bandwidth, performance
- Skill level
- Contextual information
- (Too) high expectations
- Remote access
- New friends



- MISP Forum
- MISP community driven
- MISP STIX
- MISP groups
- Government syndrom
- Data retention
- New friends















Steps in community building

ГООР	10. Evaluate and adjust		
<u> </u>	8. Start a pilot	9. Information Process	
OBSTACLE	6. Business Case	7. Controls	
8			
КТ Т	5. Decision making		
START	4. Start the collaboration		
~	3. Communication		
RIO	2. Explore the territory		
	1. Legal and policy assurances		



10. Continuous improvement



To conclude

Preparations

- Results
- Involvement

Collaboration

- Takes endurance
- Very intensive
- Trust issues reduced



http://www.tortoiseknowsbest.com/john-bachar-%E2%80%93-a-true-slow-hero/



To conclude

Obstacles

- Controls: transparency sources/ncsc/private
- Process: make a good inventory
- ROI: first insights, hard to put \$/€/¥/£ to it
- Results: start small, make it work

Improvement

• Other practices, tooling, disciplines, industries





maps.google.com

This presentation is based on our own experiences as well as others:

- Electrotechnik und informationstechnik, Cyber security information exchange to gain insight into the effects, 2015
- <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00502-015-0289-2</u>
- NCSC, Ahead of the threat, enhancing cyber intelligence communities, 2015
- <u>https://www.ncsc.nl/actueel/nieuwsberichten/ncsc-levert-bijdrage-aan-european-cyber-security-perspectives-2015.html</u>
- Microsoft, a framework for cyber security information sharing and risk reduction, 2015
- <u>http://www.microsoft.com/en-us/download/details.aspx?id=45516</u>
- Nist, Guide to Cyber Threat Information Sharing, 2014
- <u>http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/800-150/sp800_150_draft.pdf</u>
- EP, Mass surveillance, part 2, 2015
- <u>http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2015/527410/EPRS_STU%2820</u>
 <u>15%29527410_REV1_EN.pdf</u>
- EP, Network and Information Security (NIS) Directive, 2015
- <u>http://ec.europa.eu/digital-agenda/en/news/network-and-information-security-nis-directive</u>
- MISP, main developers Belgian Defence and Nato
- <u>https://github.com/MISP/MISP</u>

