5 ST ANNUAL FIRST CONFERENCE **EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21** 2019

TBD: To Block connection to malicious host by using "DQB" and "Shutdowner"

Kunio Miyamoto, Ph.D. NTTDATA-CERT, NTT DATA Corporation Kunio.Miyamoto@nttdata.com

Index

- Preliminary
- Decepting and Security live next door to each other
- Simple Specification/Architecture
- Simple Operation
- Jackpot!
- Conclusion



Preliminary: Our infrastructure Overview

- We use large amount of computers(above 20k~30k, Windows run most of computers)
 - Many of them: Windows Embedded(without MS17-010 patches)
- We have deployed and been operating Security Solutions as below:
 - Firewall(by security vendor)
 - Quarantine(Patch Management and Internet Access Control) (by security vendor)
 - USB port control (by security vendor)
 - URL filter (by security vendor)
 - End Point Security Software like virus scanner (by security vendor)
 - SIEM(by ourselves)
- Too many blackbox 😕



Preliminary: Responding to malicious URL

- Find suspicious URL by our SIEM or others
 - Consider whether URL is malicious or not(<1h)
 - Send URL Filter operators the request adding malicious URL(s) to URL filter
 - (wait for a few hours)(>2~3h) sometimes waits a few days(<1w)
 - Done
- If malicious URLs are found oftenly, URL Filter operators receive requests oftenly
 - Too heavy to process requests





Decepting and Security live next door to each other

We Decept a Certain kind of Responses for Keep Security



Deception and Security

- Deception can make attackers to spend their time/costs for attacks more
- DQB and Shutdowner are system to decept
 - DQB(DNS Query Blocker): DNS response deception, don't block DNS Query[©]
 - Shutdowner: TCP response deception
- Deploying these systems to:
 - DQB: same segment that the cache DNS in NTT DATA is placed to decept efficiently
 - Shutdowner: same segment of the Proxy Load Balancer to stop C&C communication



Simple Specification/Architecture

Complex Specification/Architecture makes work slower \otimes



Basic Idea is a very simple Detect Request to Attacker **2'Decepted Response** Similar to 2 Attacker Attacker Victim Victim 1 Request **1**Request ⁽²⁾Response ⁽²⁾Response related to related to Request 1 Request¹

• If 2' is received by Victim faster than 2, 2 from Attacker is ignored



31st ANNUAL

To Decept DNS Response: Easy and Simple(1/2)



Reference: RFC6895 Domain Name System (DNS) IANA Considerations



To Decept DNS Response: Easy and Simple(2/2)

$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	$\begin{smallmatrix} 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{smallmatrix}$			
Version IHL Type of Service	Total Length			
Identification	Flags Fragment Offset			
Time to Live Protocol	Header Checksum			
Source Address -+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-				
Options	Padding			

References: RFC768 User Datagram Protocol RFC791 INTERNET PROTOCOL

EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21 2019





To Decept TCP Response: Easy and Simple



Reference: RFC793 TRANSMISSION CONTROL PROTOCOL





Shutdowner Architecture



JUNE 16-21 2019

31st ANNUAL

Performance?

- 0.055 \sim 0.117(msec):DQB processing time from receiving packet to sending decepted response packet
 - Real DNS server software processes slower than DQB
- 0.019 \sim 0.023(msec): Shutdowner processing time from receiving SYN packet to sending decepted SYN+ACK packet
 - Real TCP/IP stack processes slower than Shutdowner



Performance in real environment





EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21 2019

DQB and Shutdowner hardware spec?

- DQB works on:
 - PowerEdge R230(<\$2k)
 - Xeon(R) CPU E3-1271 v3 @ 3.60GHz * 1
 - 16GB of memory
 - Intel I350 GbE NIC(4 ports)
- Shutdowner works on:
 - PowerEdge R230(<\$2k)
 - Xeon(R) CPU E3-1271 v3 @ 3.60GHz * 1
 - 16GB of memory
 - Intel I350 GbE NIC(4 ports)

EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21 2019



Simple Operation

Complex Systems Operations make works slower



Web application for DQB/Shutdowner Operation

- We developed a Web application for managing DQB and Shutdowner
 - Add / View / Delete malicious FQDN or IP address simply
 - Malicious FQDN/IP address can be added by Web API in Web Application.



Released in 2015

• Almost no trouble for 4 years





After DQB and Shutdowner: Responding to malicious URL got faster

- Find suspicious URL by our SIEM or others
 - Consider whether URL is malicious or not(<1h)
 - Set malicious FQDN got from malicious URL to DQB(<1min)
 - Send request URL filter operators set of malicious FQDN once a week
 - After URL filter operators work, unset malicious FQDN from DQB
 - Done
- Even if malicious URLs are found oftenly, URL Filter operators don't receive requests oftenly(once a week)
 - Set



Good point and Better point

- Good point (we thought before deployment)
 - Reducing access from our company to malicious hosts by using DQB
 - Reducing operations by simple Web UI
 - Reducing operations of URL filter operators
- Better point (we didn't think before deployment)
 - No complaint from users
 - Presentation in Annual FIRST Conference
 - Malware infection detection(partly)

アクセスしたURLは、都合により当社から × +	
← → C ^a û Q www.example.com/foo/bar/	Q Search
アクセスしたURLは、セキュリティ上の理由により、一 しています。	時的にアク [.]
ご不明な点等ございましたら、以下までご連絡いただけますようよろしくお願いします。 <u>セキュリティ技術部 情報セキュリティ推進室(NTTデータの場合)</u> 電話:050-5546-9812 / FAX:03-3532-7776	
<u>各社管理者連絡先(NTTデータグループ各社の場合)</u> Our contact is shown in so	nrv nag



81ST ANNUA

Limitations and our environment's case

- Limitations
 - DQB cannot process DNS request via TCP and DNS over TLS
 - Decepted response by DQB is ignored when DNSSEC is used
 - When IP address is included in malicious URL(e.g. http://10.0.0.1/...), DQB don't work
- In our environment

UNE 16-21 2019

- UDP is used for DNS request, and DNSSEC is disabled, then DQB works well
- Not so much URL including malicious IP address(es) found





Jackpot!

Decepting DNS response makes malware infected hosts accessing to "our" landing host



Example: Responding to Malware infection

- NTT DATA infected Ransomware in 5th, Jan. 2018...
 - Many nodes were crushed by Ransomware infection
- We use large amount of computers
 - above 20k~30k, Windows run most of computers
 - Many of them: Windows Embedded(without MS17-010 patches)
- Most of them goes to bluescreened



In NTT DATA's web site:

EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21 2019



https://www.nttdata.com/jp/ja/news/information/2018/012201/



Increased access to DQB host

- When Ransomware runs on infected node
 - Attempts "Killswitch" FQDN resolution(by DNS)
 - DQB knows "Killswitch" FQDN and send decepted DNS response
 - DQB works hard, and access to host announced by DQB(we call "Landing Host") increases



Why too many accesses were made by Ransomware?



- After Infection, access to kill switch occurs
- Multiple Infections, Multiple Accesses



31st ANNUA

DQB work when ransomware is infected



JUNE 16-21 2019





About 500k accesses

31st ANNUAL

6 – 7 accesses to "landing host"

EDINBURGH JUNE 16-21 2019

I 10.	· kmiya@dyfense: /tmp VT	_	×
ファイル(<u>F</u>)	編集(E) 設定(S) コントロール(Q) ウィンドウ(W) ヘルプ(H)		
77-1/L(E) 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	編集(E) 設定(S) コントロール(E) クインドグ(M) ヘルブ(E) - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:28 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:30 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:32 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:33 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:37 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:38 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:41 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:41 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:44 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:44 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:45 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:51 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:53 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:53 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:53 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:22:58 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:06 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:07 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:08 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:08 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:08 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:07 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 2	670 670 670 670 670 670 670 670 670 670	×
	 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:22 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:25 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:27 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:32 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:32 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:33 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:37 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 - [05/Jan/2018:10:23:40 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 		

Responding to Ransomware infection

- Isolate network segment that includes infected hosts
- Harden terminal(s) to prevent infection

IUNE 16-21 2019

- Few terminals cannot be responded
 →use DQB log to exploit by using MS17-010(and place the target to bluescreened state)
 10.x.x.x [18/Jan/2018:02:31:39 +0900] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 670 "-" "-"
 www.iugerfsodp9ifjaposdfjhgosurijfaewrwergwea.com
 - We developed the auto response tool in a few hours and got effective operation tool (and we got rest time)
 - If we have PoC code for exploitation, crushing system is easier than getting shell access



Conclusion

- We don't need so expensive solution(s), but we need(and developed) our requested tool
- Solution like "DQB" and "Shutdowner" can be developed if you understand network protocol and architecture basis and you can develop some tools by using C and Python ^(C)
- We may be able to develop tools not only detection, but also response by ourselves³
- if you know your enemy and know yourself, in a hundred battles you will never be defeated; know your enemy (from "The Art of War" by Sun Tzu)



Any Question?

Kunio.Miyamoto@nttdata.com



References

This section is not talked but useful to understand DQB and Shutdowner architecture



For example: FQDN matching and frame building strategy

- Matching www.example.com www.example.com is described "¥3www¥7example¥3com¥0" in DNS request packet
 - →DQB use FQDN "¥3www¥7example¥3com¥0", and don't parse "¥3www¥7example¥3com¥0" to "www.example.com".
- Prebuilt DNS response except IP addresses, Port numbers and ID Don't build packet fully and dynamically



Design for Performance

- Programming Language: C
- On-memory processing and intend CPU cache main loop: smaller, no library call (of course, systemcalls are not library call)
- Logging to shared memory(and write file by logger process)
- Don't use malloc() timely, use malloc() for entire use at first. To prevent bugs caused by memory management mistaken
- Lock free(for delay prevention caused by scheduler) use flags instead of (any kind of) lock
- Read packet header $+\alpha$ only



1ST ANNUA

Design for Performance

- Don't use async processing for socket() to assure the time systemcall finished is the time decepted packet is sent
 - int asyn_flag = 0;
 - // (snip) fd = socket(PF_PACKET, SOCK_RAW, htons(ETH_P_ALL));
 (void)ioctl(fd, FIOASYNC, &asyn_flag);
- If you can, DPDK is suitable for DQB and Shutdowner
- Shutdowner: use bloom filter, use pointer array(use syntax like "if" or switch ... case in IP address matching) void *func[IP address space]; func[] is valiable that contains function to send decepted syn+ack packet or do nothing when packet is received, call func[srcIP]
- DQB: Don't process dynamically like FQDN parse, and preprocess to build response packet framework



Traffic Generator by using Linux pktgen

#!/bin/sh

modprobe pktgen

echo "rem_device_all" >/proc/net/pktgen/kpktgend_0 echo "add_device eth2" >/proc/net/pktgen/kpktgend_0 echo "count 100000" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "clone_skb 1" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "pkt_size 60" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 # delay specified value (nanosec order) echo "delay 20000" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "src_min 172.16.0.2" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "src_max 172.16.0.2" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "src_max 172.16.0.2" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "src_max a0:36:9f:a8:8a:3c" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2 echo "dst 172.16.0.3" >/proc/net/pktgen/eth2

cat /proc/net/pktgen/eth2

