



Public Monitoring: Scouring the Net

Damon Morda

June 16, 2004

CERT® Coordination Center Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890

The CERT Coordination Center is part of the Software Engineering Institute. The Software Engineering Institute is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Defense.

© 2004 by Carnegie Melion University some images copyright www.articday.com and Microsoft Corporation



Agenda

- · Public monitoring overview
- · Three step process
- · Information sources
- Monitoring tools
- · Challenges and future improvements

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

What is public monitoring?

The role of the public monitor is to actively gather vulnerability, incident, and artifact related information from publicly available sources.

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

Why is public monitoring important?

- · Proactively identify vulnerabilities known to be public
- Analyze initial reports to determine severity
- · Improve informational awareness

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

4

Expectations of the Public Monitor

- · Must not "empty the recycle bin" as often
- Must be technically proficient in performing initial surface analysis
- Responsible for notifying peers of activity or reports
 - Sending an e-mail might not be sufficient!

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

Three Step Process

Step 1: Identify type of data to be collected

- What information is important to you and your constituents?

Step 2: Identify public sources and gather information

- What public sources contain the data identified in Step 1?
- Continually monitor public sources and gather relevant information

Step 3: Perform surface analysis

- Is the vulnerability report new or previously known?
- Determine the priority level of the vulnerability
- Transfer responsibility to vulnerability handlers and allow them to follow up...

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

_

-		

Step 1: Identify Data to be Collected

- What type of information are you and your constituents interested in?
 - I want everything and anything
 - · Vulnerabilities, incidents, and artifacts
 - I want information on technologies used by our constituents
 - I want specific information on vulnerabilities
 - All vulnerability reports or only ones that affect you and your constituents?

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon Universit

7

Step 2: Gathering Information

- The Internet and its resources are vast, we better narrow it down a bit...
 - Mailing lists
 - Newsgroups
 - Vulnerability related web sites
 - Web sites containing security news
- Narrow the focus to a selected number of reliable sources providing relevant information

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

Monitoring Web Sites

- · Security advisory web sites
 - US-CERT, SecurityFocus, SecuriTeam, Security Tracker, Secunia, OSVDB, vendor web sites
- · Security related news web sites
 - Slashdot
 - The Register
 - INFOSYSSEC portal (links galore)
- · Mailing list archives
 - Neohapsis and MARC

Note: Web site links will be provided at the end of the presentation.

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

Monitoring Mailing Lists

- Bugtraq, Full-Disclosure, NTBugtraq, Vuln-Dev, vendor announcements
- CERT/CC monitors over 80 mailing lists
- · Some lists have high signal/noise ratio
- · Mailing list archives (Neohapsis and MARC)
 - All you need is a web browser

@ 2004 by Carnegie Mellon Universit

10

Monitoring 80+ Mailing Lists

- · Subscribe email address to mailing lists
- · Sort incoming messages based on origin
- · CERT/CC uses IMAP folders and the Mulberry mail client
 - Cabinets
 - New Messages
 - Organized information

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

11

Gathering Vulnerability Reports

- A vulnerability report is a report of a bug, flaw, or defect in a software or hardware product that may impact the security of that product
- · Not every vulnerability report is actually a vulnerability
 - Improper configuration
 - Oversight in analysis
 - Falsified information
- It's important to differentiate between a vulnerability and the report of a vulnerability
- · CERT/CC attempts to catalog all new reported vulnerabilities

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

13

-	

Step 3: Performing Surface Analysis

- · Public monitor discovers vulnerability report
 - Do we have existing report?
- · Create a vulnerability report
 - Unique ID, title, keywords, reporter contact information, URLs
- · Monitor for follow-up discussion
 - Exploitation?
- · Vulnerability handler performs in depth analysis
- Public release of this information is coordinated by the CSIRT team.

@ 2004 by Carnegie Mellon Universit

43

Implementing Public Monitoring

- · Utilize the three step process
 - Identify information to be collected, identify and monitor sources of information, perform surface analysis
- · Train the public monitor
 - Evaluate information quickly
 - Determine severity level of report
 - Proactively inform vulnerability handlers

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

14

Implementing Public Monitoring (2)

- · Actively monitor for reported vulnerabilities
 - Subscribe to vendor and security related mailing lists
 - Maintain a list of vendor advisory sites to be periodically reviewed
 - Proactively search for additional sites to monitor
- Create procedures for notifying key personnel of vulnerability reports that may have a high impact

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

15

Public Monitoring Tools

- CAUTION: Some sources may originate from untrusted sites or contain malicious code
- Mail client
 - Turn off rendering of HTML or JavaScript code
- · Web browser
 - Turn off all scripting capabilities
- · Wget utility
 - Allows you to retrieve files from the web
- Even with these precautions, use an isolated system containing no sensitive information to perform public monitoring

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon Universit

16

Current Challenges

- · No central repository for reports
- · No reporting standard
- · Difficult to find all the information you need
- New sites are created and removed on a daily basis
- Mail clients do not allow more than one person to perform duties

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

17

Future Improvements

- Automated tools for acquiring information
- · Database storage of mail messages
 - Easy retrieval
 - Quick indexing
 - Ease of extraction
- · Distributed analysis

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University

18

Conclusion

- · Role of the public monitor
 - Identify vulnerabilities, determine severity, provide informational
- Utilize the three step process
 - Identify types of data to collect, identify and monitor sources of information, perform surface analysis
- How CERT/CC monitors
 - Tools, information sources, current limitations and future improvements

Useful Links

US-CERT http://www.us-cert.gov InfoSysSec www.infosyssec.org

Neohapsis http://archives.neohapsis.com

OpenSource Vulnerability Database (OSVDB) w.osvdb.org

Secunia http://www.secunia.com SecuriTeam http://www.securiteam.com

SecurityTracker http://www.securitytracker.com

The Register http://www.theregister.co.uk

Any suggestions for other sites/lists to actively monitor?

CERT® Contact Information

CERT Coordination Center Software Engineering Institute Carnegie Mellon University 4500 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh PA 15213 IISA

Hotline: +1 412 268 7090 CERT personnel answer 8:00 a.m. — 5:00 p.m. EST(GMT-5) / EDT(GMT-4), and are on call for emergencies during other hours.

+1 412 268 6989 Web: http://www.cert.org/ Email: cert@cert.org

© 2004 by Carnegie Mellon University
