

VANITY METRICS The Black Sheep of Cyber Security

IMAGE: Tromsø, Norway (Locationscout.net)

WhoAml – That's All I'll Ever Be

> Freddy M

- Senior Threat Intelligence analyst @ NFCERT
- > Intelligence in Army

Fun fact: I play with dolls







- > Definitions, because words matter
- > The Problem: Vanity Metrics
- > The solution: Using the intelligence cycle to provide value through metrics





Those who came before me

- Standing on shoulders of giants

- > Gert Jan Bruggink METRIC
- https://github.com/gertjanbruggink/M etrics

Audiences	Value	Starter	Intermediate	Advanced
Strategic	Higher	Amount of ad-hoc PIRs requested (e.g. RFIs)	Amount of intelligence products created that include forecasting and filtered per PIR	Amount of revenue saved (currency)
		Amount of ad-hoc PIRs not meeting the standing list of PIRs	Amount of non-security projects, where CTI contributed to actionable insights (e.g. M&A)	Mean cost of breach; Downtime
		Percentage of qualitative feedback loops completed for standing PIRs		Mean cost of breach; resources to address breach
	Lesser	Amount of PIRs documented	Amount of intelligence products created	Amount of cost of CTI capacity vs revenue saved
		Amount of stakeholders		
Operational	Higher	Amount of ad-hoc PIRs requested (e.g. RFIs)	Amount of new intelligence produced from IR cases	Impact over year; Mean time to detect
		Amount of ad-hoc PIRs not meeting the standing list of PIRs	Incident criticality impacted by TI (e.g. urgent patching)	Impact over year; Mean time to respond
		Percentage of qualitative feedback loops completed for standing PIRs	Amount of TTPs emulated, measured against ATT&CK, which weren't detected by SOC.	
		Amount of new incidents discovered through CTI		
		Total amount of curated intelligence products		
		Amount of tracked TTPs targeting client or similar companies		
	Lesser	Amount of PIRs documented	Amount of TTPs emulated, measured against ATT&CK	Weeded out by continuous improvement
		Amount of adversaries identified targeting client		

Marika Chauvin & Toni Gidwani "How to get Promoted" @ SANS CTI Summit 2019

How to Get Promoted: Metrics to Show How

"How can we show that our Cyber Threat Intelligence program provides value to our organisation?"



Yesterday's Workshops

'Build Your Own Threat Landscape'



Gert-Jan, Roman and Brian

Intelligence Planning



Joseph, Brad and Freddy





- Words actually matter

Metrics

Definition: Measures of quantitative or qualitative assessment commonly used for comparing, and tracking performance or production

Goal: Metrics permits a business to monitor for changes in order to take action

Value:

- > Decision Support
- Reducing Uncertainty
- > Situational Awareness (Increasing Awareness)





> Vanity Metrics

> Stakeholder

All those pieces of data that feel great when they go up, but make no real difference to

- Success
- Decision
- Uncertainty
- Situational Awareness

They look great, but provide no real value to the stakeholder





> Vanity Metrics

> Stakeholder

A stakeholder is anyone who has any interest/influence in what you are doing Stakeholders will determine the **success**, or **not**, of your projects and activities



Framing the Issue

- Seeing eye to eye

> Metrics are good and serve a purpose

-as long as they are used correctly and not "gamed" to show "your truth"
- > In this context
 - Measuring the success of our CTI
 →program ← not just the product or process
 - "Why we are here"
- Operational level, supporting both Strategic AND Tactical



Source: Digital Shadows - "Threat Intelligence: A deep Dive"



Campbell's Law

The more a metric is visible and used to make **important** decisions, the more it will be gamed...

...which will distort and corrupt the exact processes it was meant to monitor

Goodhart's Law

Anything that can be measured and rewarded will be gamed



Management is shown metrics where the number of attacks have increased year over year....

- What constitutes an «attack»?
 - Port scanning?
 - Vulnerability scanning?
 - Phishing emails?
- Detections vs Alerts?
 - False positives?
 - Ratio?
- Script kiddies versus attacks from sophisticated attackers
- > How many of the alerts would have resulted in a breach?
- Should focus on VALUE rather than VOLUME

The fund, which reported its biggest half-year dollar loss last week after inflation and recession fears shook markets, suffers about 100,000 cyber attacks a year, of which it classifies more than 1,000 as serious, according to its top executives.

≡

Q

Adrienne Klasa in London and Robin Wigglesworth in Oslo AUGUST 22 2022

Cyber security has eclipsed tumultuous financial markets as the biggest concern for the world's largest sovereign wealth fund, as it faces an average of three "serious" cyber attacks each day.

46

The number of significant hacking attempts against Norway's \$1.2tn oil fund, Norges Bank Investment Management, has doubled in the past two to three years, according to its chief executive Nicolai Tangen.

The fund, which reported its biggest half-year dollar loss last week after inflation and recession fears shook markets, suffers about 100,000 cyber attacks a year, of which it classifies more than 1,000 as serious, according to its top executives

"I'm worried about cyber more than I am about markets," Tangen told the Financial Times. "We're seeing many more attempts, more attacks [that are] increasingly sophisticated."

The fund's top executives are even concerned that concerted cyber attacks are becoming a systemic financial risk as markets become increasingly digitised.

Trond Grande, its deputy chief executive, pointed to the 2020 attack on SolarWinds, a software provider, by Russian state-backed hackers that allowed them to breach several US government agencies, including the Treasury and Pentagon, and a number of Fortune 500 companies including Microsoft, Intel and Deloitte.

Cyber Security + Add to myFT Norway's oil fund warns cyber security is top concern

> Hacking eclipses turbulent markets as Norges' biggest worry with three 'serious' attempts a day

y f in Д Save

FINANCIAL TIMES

COMPANIES TECH MARKETS CLIMATE OPINION WORK & CAREERS LIFE & ARTS HTSI

Intelligence – Not just for the government

> Product

- > Process
- > Program

MILITARY INTELLIGENCE



What strangers think I do



What Hollywood thinks I do



What my family thinks I do



What I think I do



What my recruiter said I would do









Intelligence Architecture Mind Map



- > Influenced by the Intelligence Cycle
- > Six sections
 - Two program (managerial)
 - Four process and product
- Initially it is (intelligence) processdriven, THEN people and THEN Technology





Intelligence Architecture Mind Map



- > Feedback & Metrics
- Based on input from the Cybersecurity community
- > First part describes the steps/process





Intelligence Architecture Mind Map



- Second part helps identify and generate metrics of value
- > Divided into three parts
 - Least Value, but easy
 - Possible value, medium difficulty
 - Most Value, but difficult





Source: https://www.taksati.org/metrics-that-matter/

Closing thoughts

The "right" metrics will depend on your industry, organization's needs, regulations, guidelines, best practices and ultimately, you and your stakeholders' appetite for risk

• Abi Tunggal



Thank You