

Global Vulnerability Identification and Usage: A Vendor's Perspective

Kent Landfield

Director, Content Strategy, Architecture and Standards

McAfee Labs

Future of Global Vulnerability Reporting Summit

Kyoto 2012 FIRST Technical Colloquium

Kyoto, Japan

November, 13, 2012

Agenda

- Vendor Vulnerability Identification Uses
- Industry Vulnerability Identification Uses
- McAfee CVE Usage
- Current Problems with the Existing Vulnerability Landscape
- CVE Format Issues
- So what is really needed and why are we here?

Vendor Vulnerability Identification Usage

- Vulnerability Management Technologies
 - Detecting vulnerabilities in the deployed environment
 - Patching / remediating vulnerabilities
 - Reporting security posture status for organizational uses
- Vendor security related bulletins
 - Publishing
 - Compliance
- Information Key for security related databases
 - Research
 - Reporting

Industry Vulnerability Identification Usage



- Information Sharing between organizations or departments
 - Incident Handling
 - Operational security posture tracking
 - Security investment success
- Tracking method for use in determining the trending and scope of vulnerabilities
 - Costing
 - Awareness of the problem
- Common means to indicate that a single software vulnerability is a single condition
 - Unidentified vulnerabilities can appear as multiple problems when reported by multiple vendors

- Customer Focused
 - Usage in our commercial products to assure the user's have an understanding of what is in or not in their environments
 - Correlation of information for informing, displaying and reporting on vulnerability related issues
- Security Research
 - Extensive use as a primary key within our Compliance, Vulnerability, malware/malicious code and Global Threat Intelligence research environments
 - Common identifier that relates information between the teams
 - Metric for our coverage percentages for the individual products
- Common “language” for communicating vulnerabilities with our cooperating partners, Symantec, Trend, Cisco, HP, Microsoft and many, many others...
- And we are finding more uses on a very consistent basis...

Current Problems with the Existing Vulnerability Landscape

- Blind, Deaf and Dumb
 - CVE has been the foundational means for vulnerability identification
 - English speaking for the most part
 - Regional uses for vulnerability identification masks the problem
 - National / Regional means for identifying are lacking
 - Some are established and correlated with CVE
 - Some are immature in their process development
 - Some don't exist at all
 - For the most part.... software vendors have been totally focused on CVE as the sole means for vulnerability identification
 - Large software development markets have no real established vulnerability identification programs that are visible to vendors and the regional community they were written for
 - Vendors can't assist checking or correlating vulnerabilities they do not know about

CVE Format Issues

- Existing CVE format too small for English speaking vulnerability reporting today
- Limited to 10,000 vulnerabilities in a single year
 - CVE-YYYY-NNNN
- We have already exceeded that in the last couple years
 - Internal research data
- Do we simply add two digits to make it a 1,000,000 in a single year ?
 - CVE-YYYY-NNNNNN
 - Will that be enough for future uses ?
- We really need to keep it simple. What does that mean?
- What is the impact of format change on existing CVE support ?

So what is really needed and why are we here?

- The world is getting smaller by the year as the global network expands
- Security and network operations professionals / management need to be able to identify all vulnerabilities in their environment, regardless of what part of the world the software was written in.
- Vendors need a means to supply customers with the ability to determine a network's true vulnerability posture
- Security Research organizations need to know the complete landscape and not simply a portion of it
- CERTs and other Incident Handling organizations need to be able to deal with global issues consistently and effectively
- Need to extend CVE regardless as we are reaching the limits of the established CVE format
- Can we attack / solve two problems with one solution ?

