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Monoculture

The cultivation or exploitation of a single crop, or the maintenance of a single kind of animal, to the exclusion of others.

(source: Oxford Dictionary)

It is generally considered a bad practice.

The term is borrowed for use in the computer security arena.
Monoculture
In The
Computer
Security Arena

Single product can ‘amplify’ adverse effects of an attack.

The commonly argued solution is to deploy product from different vendors.

But is that solution effective?
Organization of this talk

• Principle on which product diversification idea is based on
  • How effective diversification is in theory and practice?
  • Monoculture revisited
  • Why we do not see more bad things happening?
“The most certain and effectual check upon errors which arise in the process of computation, is to cause the same computations to be made by separate and independent computers; and this check is rendered still more decisive if they make their computations by different methods.”


7. Report by the Committee appointed by the Council of the Royal Society to consider the subject referred to in a Communication received by them from the Treasury, respecting Mr Babbage's Calculating Engine, and to report thereupon. London: 1829.
N-Version Programming (NVP)

• Used heavily in mission critical environments to achieve fault tolerance
• Multiple teams are developing from the same specifications
• It tends to be expensive
NVP By Another Means

- Use products from different vendors
- Products are developed by different groups
- Groups did not cooperate while developing the products
- It is much cheaper than the NVP proper
Organization of this talk

• Principle on which product diversification idea is based on

• How effective diversification is in theory and practice?

• Monoculture revisited

• Why we do not see more bad things happening?
Putting Diversification To The Test

• We will use two sources:
  – A study made in 1986 by Knight, J.C. and Leveson, N.G
  – CERT/CC / US-CERT Vulnerability Notes
Knight & Leveson Study

• Students from two universities are given the same specification

• They were instructed to code the solution

• Students were forbidden to collaborate with other students included in the study

• Each developer was given an identical set of tests to verify the correctness of the solution

• Acceptance test consisted of 200 randomly generated tests unique for each solution

• 27 solutions have been accepted
“For the particular problem that was programmed for this experiment, we conclude that the assumption of independence of errors that is fundamental to the analysis of N-version programming does not hold.”

Analysis Of Vulnerability Notes

• Analyzed VNs in the period from Sep-2000 to Jan-2015 (available at http://www.kb.cert.org/vuls)

• 3158 VNs have been published in that period

• Each VN tend to cover a single vulnerability

• We were looking for instances where multiple vendors were listed as ‘vulnerable’ in the same Vulnerability Note
Vendor Pairs Analysis

- Are there any vendors that appear together more often than others?
- That would indicate tight product coupling
- Application level analysis has not been done
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Diversification Revisited

- Products from different vendors are *not* substitute for NVP
- They have too many interdependencies
- Deploying products from different vendors is not much different than deploying products from a single vendor
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“It is, nevertheless, a remarkable fact, that several computers, working separately and independently, do frequently commit precisely the same error; so that falsehood in this case assumes that character of consistency, which is regarded as the exclusive attribute of truth.”

Edinburgh Review, July 1834
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Uniformity Is Not a Norm

• Cisco IOS or JunOS does not mean that all releases are exactly the same
• Not all devices are configured exactly the same
• Vendors may introduce changes in third-party components that remove the vulnerability or the trigger
## Third Party Library Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Version</th>
<th>OpenSSL Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9.8g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9.8h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9.8k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A vulnerability is discovered in 0.9.8i
Do your homework and investigate CVEs and VNIs for vendors you are putting into your networks.
We need a framework detailing how to build resilient systems out of components that have an unknown number and type of common points of failure.
Thank you!