CYBER THREAT INTELLIGENCE

Richard Kerkdijk | December 7th 2017





A WORD ABOUT TNO



Dutch **innovation and advisory** body, founded by law in 1932 and currently comprising some 2800 professionals

Active in many fields (a.o. healthcare, automotive, defence, energy and ICT), not-for-profit and **independent** of public & private interests



key partners

- Dutch government
- NCSC
- MoD/ Defence industry (NL)
- Financials (NL)
- Telcos (Europe)



EVOLUTION OF RESILIENCE STRATEGIES



focus of this presentation



THE CTI PLAYING FIELD



TNO innovation for life

* Pyramid of Pain published by David Bianco

AN AREA THAT NEEDS MATURING



present efforts largely revolve around **indicators** – but the real value lies in tactical intelligence!

Network/ Host Artifacts

Domain Names

Hash Values

focus of activities



BUT WHAT CONSTITUTES "MATURE"?



- Description of typical CSIRT services (2003), a.o. adopted by ENISA.
- No clear definition of CTI related services

CTI Capability Framework



- Intended as tangible and contemporary foundation for maturing CTI provisions
- Developed in collaboration with major Dutch financials.

MITRE's SOC Capabilities



Carson Zimmerman, "Ten Strategies of a World-Class Cyber Security Operations Center"

- Modern perspective (2014), includes "intel & trending"
- Fairly high level and some (key) elements embedded in broader SOC capability



DEFINING CTI CAPABILITIES



(outcome)



ELEVATE OPERATIONAL SECURITY

threat indicators

	CTI-01:01 Ingestion	CTI-02:01	CTI-02:02	CTI-02:03
	of structured CTI	Triage & assignment	Threat investigation	CoA management
<pre>production of actionable CTI monitor (SIEM, IDS) block (firewall, ACL) hunt (data lake)</pre>	 establish indicator feeds pre-process for analysis (e.g. enrich with contextual data) 	 select CoA automated playbook expert (fast throughput) 	 assess threat & possible mitigations select action CoA monitor standardise for fast triage 	 prepare CoA (e.g. signature) initiate CoA API ticket monitor CoA establishment

TNO innovation for life

COMPILING THE FRAMEWORK



(outcome)



SUPPLY ON-DEMAND THREAT INSIGHTS

actors, TTP, campaigns	CTI-01:01 Ingestion	CTI-01:02 Ingestion	CTI-02:02	CTI-04:02		
	of structured CTI	of unstructured CTI	Threat investigation	CTI dashboarding		
production of actionable CTI	 establish tactical feeds 	 acquire threat reports/ mailings 	 analyse & correlate threat 	self-service portal		
	pre-process	abstract machine	information	 current threats 		
Q Specific threat insights	for analysis	readable threat information		 actor analysis 		
CERT/CSIRT		archive in structured		(align with needs of		
(typical scenario)		repository		target audiences)		

INO innovation for life

EXPANDING THE FRAMEWORK



(outcome)



SUPPLY TACTICAL THREAT INSIGHTS

threat information

				CTI-03:01 Trend analysis		CTI-03:02 Threat landscaping		CTI-03:03 Strategic CTI review		CTI-04:03 Reporting & alerting		
	roductio ctionabl			analyse threat info collected		 assess effects of CTI trends and 		ID threats/ trends for which		ID stakeholders & their needs		
trends	Ad	Chan an in		over time ID structural		events create prioritized		organisation is not prepared		develop reporting products/ formats		
Top Threats 2016	Assessed Trends 2016	Change in ranking	_	changes, e.g.		list of cyber		assess causes/	_			
1. Malware 2. Web based attacks	0	\rightarrow		in attacker MO		threats		shortcomings		create and distribute reports		
3. Web application attacks	0	\rightarrow	(0	ften fed by trigger)				raise with				
 Denial of service Botnets 	0	↑ ↓	,	,,				security leaders				
6. Phishing	٢	↑ •	** from:	ENISA Threat								

(example)

Landscape Report 2016



EXPANDING SOME MORE



Towards a Mature CTI Practice - Borderless Cyber



EVALUATE & IMPROVE THE SETUP





CTI-01:03 CTI lifecycle management

- acquire source performance data
- evaluate threat information sources

discontinue/replace?

Note: also includes

- CTI source selection
- CTI data maintenance (not covered today)



ALMOST THERE





COLLABORATE WITH COMMUNITY PARTNERS



CTI-04:01 External CTI sharing

- prepare threat info for community partners
 - → unique
 - → vetted
 - → cleared
- distribute via designated channels

communities may differ in terms of

- focus of threat info exchange
- formats, practices and rules of play this may affect capabilities required for appropriate contribution



CTI CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK





Sample workflow: CTI life-cycle management (source evaluation)

- For each, model addresses
- a. definition & purpose
- b. core operations/ workflow
- c. automation potential
- Explicitly detached from security team demarcations (SOC, CERT...)
- Exploring possibilities to transform into ENISA guideline



TAKE AWAYS



- > We see a need for a CTI capability framework that can serve as a foundation for establishing a mature CTI practice.
- Not every organization will need (or be able) to develop all capabilities encompassed in the framework – a balanced selection can also be appropriate
- As you develop these CTI capabilities, you may discover automation needs you had not anticipated before. This might for instance result in new requirements for your CTI platform.



THANK YOU & FURTHER READING





https://www.tno.nl/media/9419/ innovating-in-cyber-security.pdf