

Common Vulnerability Scoring System v2

Seth Hanford

CVSS-SIG v3 Chair

Agenda

- CVSS v2 Overview
- Scoring Criteria
- Caveats
- V3 Development
- Examples

Overview



What is CVSS?

- Common Vulnerability Scoring System
 - Common system to convey vulnerability characteristics, assign Severity scoring, and help to determine Urgency and Priority of response
- Version 1 developed by NIAC, v2 developed under FIRST.org
- Development is iterative, driving CVSS toward a scoring model that reflects expert expectations for Severity, Urgency, Priority
- CVSS Special Interest Group: <http://www.first.org/cvss>
- CVSS v2 Scoring Guide: <http://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-guide>
- Work on v3 has begun

What is CVSS? cont.

- Purpose

 - Assign standard names to vulnerability characteristics

 - Derive scores from the combination of those characteristics

 - Prioritize response based upon those scores, among a diverse set of vulnerabilities, vendors, and environments

- Usage

 - Vendors

 - Government

 - Security scanning / assessment

 - Vulnerability Intelligence Services

- Descriptive value, not just scoring value

How vulnerabilities are scored

- Base score

 - Required

 - Static, once all information is available

 - Usually set by Vendor or Reporter; Vendor's score "wins"

- Temporal Score

 - Sometimes present

 - Dynamic, but progresses in one direction over vulnerability lifetime

 - Often provided by end user or intelligence service

- Environmental Score

 - Organizational responsibility

- Final Score (Metric)

 - At least a Base score; all Temporal and Environmental are optional

 - (AV:N/AC:M/Au:N/C:C/I:C/A:C/E:U/RL:OF/RC:C)

What you need

- The Guide: <http://www.first.org/cvss/cvss-guide.pdf>
- A CVSS calculator: <http://www.first.org/cvss/scores>
- A firm grasp of security terminology and common vulnerability characteristics
- Note: beware rounding errors; verify formula as presented in guide

Scoring Criteria



Base Metrics

Exploitability

- Access Vector (Network, Adjacent Network, Local)
- Access Complexity (Low, Medium, High)
- Authentication Required (None, Single, Multiple)

Impact

- Confidentiality (None, Partial, Complete)
- Integrity (None, Partial, Complete)
- Availability (None, Partial, Complete)

Scoring Base Metrics, Exploitability

- Access Vector (AV)
 - Farthest position of the attacker, relative to a vulnerable target
- Network (N)
 - Remotely exploitable
 - System accepts via network stack (non UI)
- Adjacent Network (A)
 - Physical proximity (e.g. Bluetooth range)
 - Broadcast domain (e.g. same subnet; privileged network position)
- Local (L)
 - Physical, Console or UI Access
 - System accepts via interactive session

Scoring Base Metrics, Exploitability cont.

- Access Complexity (AC)
- Addresses the complexity of factors outside the attackers control
- High (H)
 - Elevated Privileges required by attacker
 - Highly unlikely exploit path (e.g. user unlikely to perform a very suspicious action)
- Medium (M)
 - Specific privileges required by attacker
 - Some factors outside attackers control (e.g. human intervention required by victim)
- Low (L)
 - Attacker fully controls the exploit path (e.g. vulnerable service listens by default)

Scoring Base Metrics, Exploitability cont.

- Authentication (Au)
- Attacker's required system credentials
- Multiple (M)
 - Two or more sets of credentials
- Single (S)
 - One set of credentials
- None (N)

Scoring Base Metrics, Impact

- Confidentiality (C)
 - Access to information resources
 - Read data, or loss of Access Control
- Integrity (I)
 - Modification of information resources
 - Write data, or loss of data integrity
- Availability (A)
 - Availability of information resources
 - System non-responsive, or system performance significantly degraded

Scoring Base Metrics, Impact cont.

- Each of C, I, A scored, relative to the Host, as:
 - None (N)
No loss to this Impact category
 - Partial (P)
Attacker is constrained in either Scope or Control
 - Complete (C)
Attacker is unconstrained in their impact to this category
- Note: If all of C, I and A are None, then CVSS = 0.0

Temporal Metrics

Exploitability

- Unproven
- Proof-of-concept
- Functional
- High
- Not Defined

Remediation Level

- Official Fix
- Temporary Fix
- Workaround
- None
- Not Defined

Report Confidence

- Unconfirmed
- Uncorroborated
- Confirmed
- Not Defined

Scoring Temporal Metrics

- Exploitability (E)
What is the public availability of example code which exploits the vulnerability?
- Not Defined (ND)
- Unproven (U)
Theoretical, no public demonstration
- Proof of Concept (POC)
Works for some platforms, or with limited impact
- Functional (F)
Works for all platforms, for greatest impact
- High (H)
Malicious code or No exploit needed

Scoring Temporal Metrics, cont.

- Remediation Level (RL)
What is the public availability of remediations for the vulnerability?
- Not Defined (ND)
- Unavailable (U)
There is no resolution which maintains necessary functionality
- Workaround (W)
Third-party solution which preserves functionality but limits exploitability
- Temporary Fix (TF)
Vendor supplied, non-final fix for the vulnerability
- Official Fix (OF)
Patch or official solution available from the vendor

Scoring Temporal Metrics, cont.

- Report Confidence (RC)
What is the degree of confidence in the vulnerability and its characteristics?
- Not Defined (ND)
- Unconfirmed (UC)
Low credibility or conflicting reports
- Uncorroborated (UR)
Medium credibility, non-official sources, some lingering ambiguity
- Confirmed (C)
Vendor supplied, official confirmation

Environmental Metrics

Collateral Damage Potential

- None
- Low
- Low-medium
- Medium-high
- High
- Not Defined

Target Distribution

- None
- Low
- Medium
- High
- Not Defined

Security Requirements

- Confidentiality (Low, Medium, High, Not Defined)
- Integrity (Low, Medium, High, Not Defined)
- Availability (Low, Medium, High, Not Defined)

Scoring Environmental Metrics

- Collateral Damage Potential (CDP)
Describes the impact to non-vulnerable systems in the event of a successful exploit
- Not Defined (ND) / None (N)
- Low (L)
Slight loss
- Low-Medium (LM)
Moderate loss
- Medium-High (MH)
Significant loss
- High (H)
Catastrophic loss

Scoring Environmental Metrics, cont.

- Target Distribution (TD)
Describes the occurrence of vulnerable systems within an environment
- Not Defined (ND)
- None (N) – CVSS = 0.0
- Low (L)
1-25% of environment considered At Risk
- Medium (M)
26-75% of environment considered At Risk
- High (H)
76-100% of environment considered At Risk

Scoring Environmental Metrics, cont.

- Security Requirements (CR, IR, AR)
Describes the sensitivity of loss to C, I, and A
- Not Defined (ND)
- Low (L)
Limited impact
- Medium (M)
Serious impact
- High (H)
Catastrophic impact

Caveats



V2 Caveats

- Scoring in v2 is host-centric
 - Some vulnerabilities don't "score well" in this assumption
- Scoring Tips section of the v2 Guide assists with common difficulties
- Much of the work from v1 to v2 focused on Base Scoring
 - Temporal got little work
 - Environmental was changed, but only to move Base metrics to Environmental
 - Much of v2 scoring experience in industry is Base, with some Temporal

V3 Development



Call for Participants

- Opened March 19, 2012
- Accepting applications through May 4
- First official meetings for Annual Conference, June 2012 (Malta)
- Representative model from government, industry, vendors, academia, and more
- See CfP posting online:
<http://www.first.org/newsroom/releases/20120322>
- If interested, contact me: seth@first.org

Call for Subjects

- Will open April 6
- Collecting public feedback on v2 and suggestions for improvement in v3
- Collection will occur through the start of the Malta kick-off meetings (approx. through June 16, 2012)
- Will accept input after that date, but this is the window for setting the scope / direction of v3
- Please submit ideas to: seth@first.org

Examples



XYZ Corp Web Server Buffer Overflow

- XYZ Corp Web Server version 8 contains a buffer overflow vulnerability that could allow a remote, unauthenticated attacker to execute arbitrary code with the privileges of the web server process. An attacker can exploit this vulnerability by submitting an overly-long POST request to an affected system.
- Exploit code for XYZ Web Server that demonstrates this vulnerability on ABC Linux (64-bit only) has been posted to Pastebin
- XYZ Corp has not yet verified that the code posted to Pastebin affects XYZ Web Server. By default, XYZ Web Server runs as root
- Your organization (a web hosting reseller) serves 80% of customer sites on XYZ Web Server v. 8; 30% of XYZ Web Server-using customers are on 64-bit Linux platforms

XYZ Web Server Buffer Overflow, cont.

- Base 10.0

AV: **Network**
AC: **Low**
Au: **None**
C: **Complete**
I: **Complete**
A: **Complete**

- Environmental 9.1

CDP: **High**
TD: **High**
CR: **Low**
IR: **Low**
AR: **High**

- Temporal 8.1

E: **POC**
RL: **Workaround**
RC: **Uncorroborated**

123 Corp Browser Plugin Buffer Overflow

- 123 Corp Browser plugin version 1 contains a buffer overflow vulnerability that could allow a remote, unauthenticated attacker to execute arbitrary code with the privileges of the web browser process. An attacker can exploit this vulnerability by convincing a user to visit a malicious web site that loads the vulnerable plugin with malicious content.
- No exploits have been made publicly available
- 123 Corp has released advisory 123C-0472 to address this, and has released plugin version 1.1 which corrects it
- Your organization believes most, if not all, user desktops run the 123 Corp Browser Plugin version 1. Non-user systems, probably not.

123 Corp Browser Plugin Overflow, cont.

- Base 9.3

AV: **Network**
AC: **Medium**
Au: **None**
C: **Complete**
I: **Complete**
A: **Complete**

- Environmental 7.2

CDP: **Low**
TD: **High**
CR: **Med**
IR: **Med**
AR: **Med**

- Temporal 6.9

E: **Unproven**
RL: **Official Fix**
RC: **Confirmed**

123 Corp Browser Plugin Overflow, cont.

- Base **6.8**
 - AV: **Network**
 - AC: **Medium**
 - Au: **None**
 - C: **Partial**
 - I: **Partial**
 - A: **Partial**
- Environmental **5.5**
 - CDP: **Low**
 - TD: **High**
 - CR: **Med**
 - IR: **Med**
 - AR: **Med**
- Temporal **5**
 - E: **Unproven**
 - RL: **Official Fix**
 - RC: **Confirmed**

ABC Inc. Firewall ACL Bypass

- ABC Firewalls running firmware 6.4 and prior contain a vulnerability that allows an attacker to bypass access control lists on an affected system. Attackers sending malicious traffic can bypass established ACLs.
- No public exploit examples have been published
- ABC Inc. has confirmed this vulnerability and has issued version 6.5, which corrects this flaw.
- Your organization uses ABC Firewalls to protect datacenter hosts on all links from business partner connections

ABC Inc. Firewall ACL Bypass, cont.

- Base **5.0**
 - AV: **Network**
 - AC: **Low**
 - Au: **None**
 - C: **Partial**
 - I: **None**
 - A: **None**
- Environmental **1.7**
 - CDP: **Medium-High**
 - TD: **Low**
 - CR: **Not Defined**
 - IR: **Not Defined**
 - AR: **Not Defined**
- Temporal **4.4**
 - E: **High**
 - RL: **Official Fix**
 - RC: **Confirmed**

ABC Inc. Firewall ACL Bypass, cont.

- Base **5.0**
 - AV: **Network**
 - AC: **Low**
 - Au: **None**
 - C: **Partial**
 - I: **None**
 - A: **None**
- Environmental **6.6**
 - CDP: **Medium-High**
 - TD: **High**
 - CR: **Not Defined**
 - IR: **Not Defined**
 - AR: **Not Defined**
- Temporal **4.4**
 - E: **High**
 - RL: **Official Fix**
 - RC: **Confirmed**