

Passive Host Monitoring

John Kristoff

DePaul University

jtk@depaul.edu

February 2001

Overview

- Linux Redhat 6.2 default install
- University network environment
- NOT a honeypot
- Capture every packet

As Seen on the Net

- RPC and FTP mostly
- NetBIOS, most from internal network
- ICMP host unreachables
- Some TELNET and DNS
- Barely any HTTP

Observations

- Packet analysis is slow, but educational
- It was relatively easy to protect the host
- Nothing I could do to stop DoS/spoofed packets
- Attackers are lamerz too, their tools suck
- Monitoring log files is helpful, need better tools
- Basic system config tricks would stop/slow attackers

Example 1 - Crafted Packets

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Length	Protocol
1	0.000000	suspect	igunda	60	TCP
sunrpc > sunrpc [FIN, SYN] Seq=1597357078 Ack=1032676069 Win=1028 Len=0					

Example 2 - Suspicious Packets

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Length	Protocol
1	0.0000000	suspect	igunda	60	TCP
		0 > 1024 [SYN, ACK] Seq=713323970 Ack=2383656254 Win=0 Len=0			
2	0.000262	suspect	igunda	60	TCP
		0 > 1024 [RST, ACK] Seq=713323971 Ack=2383656254 Win=0 Len=0			

Example 3 - Correlation

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Length	Protocol
1	0.000000	suspect-1	igunda	60	TCP
		www > 1538 [RST, ACK] Seq=0 Ack=674711610 Win=0 Len=0			
2	1117.980147	suspect-2	igunda	60	TCP
		www > 1538 [SYN, ACK] Seq=897862236 Ack=674711610 Win=16384 Len=0			
3	1117.981982	igunda	suspect-2	60	TCP
		1538 > www [RST] Seq=674711610 Ack=0 Win=0 Len=0			
4	1117.986725	suspect-2	igunda	60	TCP
		www > 1538 [RST, ACK] Seq=897862237 Ack=674711610 Win=16384 Len=0			

Example 4 - Attack Packet

Byte	Hex	ASCII
3d0	9090 9090 9090 9090 31c0 eb7c 5989 41101.. Y.A.
3e0	8941 08fe c089 4104 89c3 fec0 8901 b066	.A....A.....f
3f0	cd80 b302 8959 0cc6 410e 99c6 4108 1089Y..A...A...
400	4904 8041 040c 8801 b066 cd80 b304 b066	I..A.....f.....f
410	cd80 b305 30c0 8841 04b0 66cd 8089 ce880..A..f.....
420	c331 c9b0 3fcd 80fe c1b0 3fcd 80fe c1b0	.1..?.....?.....
430	3fcd 80c7 062f 6269 6ec7 4604 2f73 6841	?.... /bin.F./shA
440	30c0 8846 0789 760c 8d56 108d 4e0c 89f3	0..F..v..V..N...
450	b00b cd80 b001 cd80 e87f ffff ff00

Example 5 - Log files

```
Sep  5 02:39:31 igunda in.ftpd[7594]: connect from suspect
Sep  5 02:39:41 igunda ftpd[7594]: lost connection to suspect
Sep  5 02:39:41 igunda ftpd[7594]: FTP session closed
Sep  5 02:39:41 igunda inetd[478]: pid 7594: exit status 255

Oct  8 06:19:29 igunda rpc.statd[340]: gethostbyname error for ...

Oct 27 13:27:54 igunda rpc.statd[353]: SM_MON request for hostname
Oct 27 13:27:54 igunda rpc.statd[353]: POSSIBLE SPOOF/ATTACK ATTEMPT!
Oct 27 13:27:54 igunda rpc.statd[353]: STAT_FAIL to localhost for SM_MON of
```

Statistics

- A VERY unscientific look at the sources
 - Non-US (29)
 - ISP DSL/Cable/Modem pools (10)
 - Big ISP netblocks (10)
 - Internal NetBios (5)
 - US Universities (4)
 - Other (18)

Statistics [continued]

- A VERY unscientific look at destinations
 - assumed wu-ftpd (28)
 - assumed rpc.statd (17)
 - ICMP destination unreachables (11)
 - telnet (9)
 - NETBIOS (9)
 - DNS (3)

Resources

- <http://www.cert.org>
- <http://www.ethereal.com>
- <http://www.rfc-editor.org>
- <http://packetstorm.securify.com>
- <http://www.securityfocus.com>
- <http://project.honeynet.org>

The End

- For further analysis see:

<http://condor.depaul.edu/~jkristof/igunda.pdf>

or <http://condor.depaul.edu/~jkristof/igunda.ps>